

No.	Document	Relevant Section	Cat.	Question/Comments/Rationale for Proposed Change	Sponsors Current Comments
492.	Indicative Plans	Indicative Plans		Please define where the scope of Trust's storm drains and outfalls need to be improved?	The design, construction and maintenance of the outfalls are no longer in the scope of Phase II  The developer is to determine which storm drains need to be improved as per their design
493.	Technical Requirements Division II Section 1	Parking Division II Section 1 Subsection 4.2.1 Parking	2	Please reword to be specific to which parking locations must be restored. As written, this line precludes construction of the Tennessee Hollow Grading improvements.  Line below the table "Developer shall restore all impacted parking locations to the original conditions prior to Phase I commencement unless noted otherwise".	The Tennessee Hollow Grading improvements would constitute "noted otherwise". Therefore, restoration of any parking facility which conflicts with the Tennessee Hollow Grading improvements is not required.
494.	Technical Requirements Division II Section 1	Drainage Division II Section 1 Subsection 3 Construction Phasing	2	Paragraph 2 indicates that "...four contracts ... are underway and involve ... the design and construction work related to the Outfalls? What are the limits of work to be completed for the Outfalls? Will it involve work to all the Outfalls identified in the Outfalls Report? Or just some of them, e.g. Outfall A, Outfall IJKL, and Outfall M?	The outfalls are currently under design by the Department
495.	Technical Requirements Drainage Division II	Drainage Division II Section 1 Subsection 3 Construction Phasing	2	Will the engineering analysis for the Outfall Improvements be available for review/input during the design of the Outfalls?	Yes once the design is completed.
496.	Technical Requirements Division II	Drainage Division II Section 1 Subsection 3 Construction Phasing	2	Will the hydrology analysis for the Outfall design include a concentration point at the locations where Phase II cross drainage will pick up flows from PT systems?	Engineering analysis for the Outfall Improvements will be available to Developers once the design is completed.
497.	Technical Requirements Division II	Drainage Division II Section 3 Subsection 5.5.3 Drainage Analysis Part A4	2	Since the Outfalls will be designed and constructed per the four earlier contracts (see Div II, §1, Subsection 3 Construction Phasing), what is the Developer's obligation under this provision?	The Developer's obligation is to design the drainage system within the TCE, and for the drainage system to function as required by this Contract and to properly connect to the outfalls.
498.	Technical Requirements Division II	Drainage Division II Section 3 Subsection 5.5.3 Drainage Analysis Part A4	2	Should this section be interpreted to mean that the developer must verify and upgrade all outfalls—even those that are not affected directly by this project?	The intention is that the Developer is to verify that all outfalls affected by the Developer's design are capable of safely and efficiently discharging the appropriate storm event as required by the Contract Documents.

499.	Technical Requirements Division II	Drainage Division II Section 3 Subsection 5.5.3 Drainage Analysis Part A4	2	Would the Presidio Trust accept a storm event criteria for their outfall improvements of Q10 (10 year storm event)?	No.
500.	Technical Requirements Division II	Drainage Division II Section 3 Subsection 5.5.3 Drainage Analysis Part A4	2	This section implies that the Developer will have to decide whether the Outfalls are designed to meet the Q25 criteria "and upgrade or provide appropriate measures to restrict or contain runoff to the capacity of the outfalls as necessary." Q: Was detention storage considered as an appropriate measure? If so, what areas are available to the Developer to detention basins?	No.
501.	Technical Requirements Division II	Drainage Division II Section 3 Subsection 5.5.3 Drainage Analysis Part B7	2	What should be done for cross drains whose capacity, condition, or design life is deemed deficient? Should they be replaced? If so, to what extent?	The Developer is required to determine and implement the appropriate measures consistent with the Developer's design.
502.	Technical Requirements	Drainage Contract 4 Drainage Report Section 1.5		Where are the existing PT oil water separators	None of the existing oil/water separators are in the Phase II construction area. There are 2 oil/water separators that are in Area A.
503.	Indicative Plans Sheets L-8, L-9	Indicative Plans Sheets L-8, L-9	2	It appears that a retaining wall at the DOY4 edge of pavement will be required to retain fill from encroaching outside of the most recent Temporary Construction Easement boundary – approx. Sta. 'DOY4' 110+00, Rt to end of ramp. This wall wasn't identified in the Indicative plans. Is this wall intended to be constructed through this contract or will additional TCE be provided to widen the embankment?	If the Developer's design requires soil containment at that location to avoid impacting areas outside the TCE, the Developer should provide an appropriate design.
504.	P3 Agreement	Appendix 5B	2	Appendix 5B of the P3 Agreement shows an environmentally sensitive area in the infield between DOY4 and NB Doyle. Will this area be available for widening the DOY4 roadway prism or will a wall be needed here to avoid the area.	If the Developer's design requires widening the DOY4 roadway prism or a wall to be constructed, the Developer should provide an appropriate design.